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Summary
Ruschia Mello-Leitão, 1940 is removed from the
synonymy of Discocyrtoides Mello-Leitão, 1923, and is
here revalidated to include R. vellutina Mello-Leitão, 1940.
An emended diagnosis is given for Ruschia. The
male of the type species (hitherto known only from the
female and never figured) is herein described and figured.

Introduction
The monotypic genus Ruschia was established in the
Pachylinae by Mello-Leitão (1940:106) for R. vellutina,
from the Brazilian state of Espírito Santo. B. Soares
(1944b:167) transferred Ruschia to the Bourguyiinae,
considering it to be a synonym of Discocyrtoides Mello-
Leitão, 1923. Mello-Leitão (1949:22) did not accept the
synonymy, although it was maintained in the
Discocyrtoides has recently been moved to the
Mitobatinae (Kury, 1990). This genus contains a
miscellany of species of doubtful affinity. A
phylogenetic analysis of the Mitobatinae (Kury, in
prep.) failed to offer any evidence that Ruschia vellutina and the remaining species of Discocyrtoides constitute a monophyletic group, but instead, vellutina is thought to be probably more closely related to Mitobates Sundevel, 1833 and Metamitobates Roewer, 1913. Ruschia is an available name, and is therefore revalidated here to include this single species. A redescription is given of R. vellutina (known hitherto only from a female and never figured).

The exaggerated trend of raising species to
monotypic genera has been much criticized. I join the
critics, but, on the other hand, I can see no use in
lumping several dozen species together in huge
wastebasket genera (see e.g. Goodnight & Goodnight,
1953) not linked to any kinship hypothesis, and defined
only by symplesiomorphies. When the phylogeny of the
Mitobatinae has been cleared up, perhaps Ruschia
could be included in some other genus. Currently, its
status as a monotypic genus reflects the lack of
evidence to relate it to any other genus.

Abbreviations of the institutions in which the
specimens are deposited are: Museu de Zoologia da
Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP); Museu Nacional
do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ); Departamento de Zoologia
da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (DZUFJR).
The Brazilian states of Espírito Santo and São Paulo are here abbreviated as ES and SP. All
measurements are in mm.

Genus Ruschia Mello-Leitão
Ruschia Mello-Leitão, 1940:106; 1949:22.
Discocyrtoides Mello-Leitão (part): B. Soares,

Type species: Ruschia vellutina Mello-Leitão, 1940
by original designation.

Included species: Only the type species.

Etymology: The genus was named after the Brazilian
naturalist Augusto Ruschi, and should be treated as
feminine.

Distribution: States of Espírito Santo and São Paulo,
southeastern Brazil.

Diagnosis: Mitobatines with paired sculpture on
area III and on eye mound. Tarsi I with 6 segments
in both sexes, tarsi II-IV with more than six segments. By
the rectangular scute outline, unarmed coxa and
trochanter IV in male, and cleft and flange in ventral
plate of penis, it can be tentatively related to Mitobates.
It can be readily distinguished by the low
tarsal segmentation and low pedipalpal tibia spination. As
autapomorphies for Ruschia can be cited: (1) unique
structure of glans penis ventral branch; (2) velvet-like
mesotergal tegument; (3) white spots on dorsal scute
(very different from those of Discocyrtoides maculatus
H. Soares, 1974); (4) eye mound very low, with
armature much reduced in male; (5) tiny body (it is the
smallest mitobatine I have seen); (6) simple dorso-basal
anterior apophysis of coxa II (considered as a reversal
to ancestral condition; also unique in Mitobatinae).

Ruschia vellutina Mello-Leitão (Figs. 1-10)
Ruschia vellutina Mello-Leitão, 1940:106; B. Soares,
1944a:144; Mello-Leitão, 1949:22.
Discocyrtoides vellutinus: B. Soares, 1944b:167; 1945:348;
Discocyrtoides marginatus Mello-Leitão (specimen label only).

Material examined: Female holotype (MNRJ 201),
Santa Teresa, ES (A. Ruschi); 1♂ (MZUSP 1,841),
Santa Leopoldina, ES, January 1945 (Vervloet); 1♂
(DZUFJR 0284), Fazenda Tabajara, Santa Teresa, ES,
4 September 1988 (R. L. C. Baptista/A. P. Chaves/
A. Ruschi); 3♂ 1♀ syntypes of "D. marginatus"
(MNRJ), Iguape, SP (Lange).

Etymology: From Latin = velvet-like, referring to the
appearance of the mesotergum.

Distribution: Brazil, Espírito Santo state, Santa
Teresa and Santa Leopoldina; São Paulo state, Iguape.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pedipalpus</th>
<th>Tr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PedIP</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg I</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>19.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg II</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>51.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg III</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>34.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg IV</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>23.31</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>27.66</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>73.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Ruschia vellutina male (DZUFJR 0284), appendage measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pedipalpus</th>
<th>Tr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Mt</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PedIP</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg I</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>16.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg II</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>37.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg III</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>25.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg IV</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>37.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Ruschia vellutina female holotype, appendage measurements.
**Male (DZUFJR 0284)**

Dorsal scute 3.22 long; cephalothorax 1.53 long, 2.37 wide; abdominal scute 1.69 long, 2.87 wide.

*Dorsum* (Figs. 1-2): Body rectangular with convex sides, wider at area II, slightly constricted at cephalothorax/abdomen groove, posterior margin of scute straight, anterior margin with rounded corners, unarmed and smooth (except for cheliceral sockets). Eye mound (Fig. 3) low, situated at mid-length of carapace, armed with a pair of pointed tubercles. Abdominal scute divided from cephalothorax and into five areas by five well-marked transverse grooves; groove I deep, linked to groove II by a longitudinal median groove, groove II medially projected into area I, groove III recurved, grooves IV-V almost straight. Scutal area III armed with a pair of pointed tubercles, other areas, free tergites and dorsal anal opercle unarmed. Area IV, posterior margin of scute and free tergites with a row of minute granules. Mesotergum and posterior part of carapace with velvety-like tegument.

*Venter:* Coxae and stigmatic area each with a transverse row of minute granules.

*Pedipalpus* (Fig. 4): Robust, overall surface smooth.

---

**Figs. 1-6:** *Ruschia vellutina.* 1-5 Male DZUFJR 0284. 1 Habitus, dorsal view; 2 Habitus, lateral view; 3 Dorsal scute, frontal view; 4 Left pedipalpus, ventral view; 5 Tarsus I. 6 Female holotype, tarsus I. Scale lines = 1 mm.
Trochanter armed with a basal setiferous tubercle, femur with a ventro-basal setiferous tubercle and a medio-distal spine. Patella slightly widened, unarmed; tibia armed with four ectal (ili) and four mesal (iiii) spines; tarsus armed with three mesal (iiii) and four ectal spines (ili). Tarsal claw robust, smooth, curved. Segments cylindrical, tarsus and tibia ventrally flattened. Measurements of segments in Table 1.

Legs: Very elongate; all segments unarmed; coxae IV extending well beyond lateral margins of dorsal scute, femora I-IV straight. Approximate proportion of femora I-IV lengths: 1:3:2:4. Ratio calcaneus/astroagalus of metatarsi I-IV: 0.5:0.3:0.5:0.3. Tarsal segmentation 6:13-9-10:10 (variation in the other three males examined: 6:13-14:9-11:10). Double tarsal claws of legs III-IV un toothed, bearing no scopula, with a tarsal process. Distitarsi I and II with 3 segments each. Measurements in Table 1.

Coloration: Pedipalps, lateral margins of scute, trochanters I-IV and base of femora III-IV light yellow with minute, sharply delimited black spots; cephalothorax, mesotergum and free tergites black; chelicerae dark yellow, with dense, dark olive-green reticle; free sternites and ventral anal opercle black.
Lateral margins of scute with three pairs of white spots, one on carapace, one at area I and one at groove IV. Tubercles of area III black, those of eye mound yellow; all legs olive-green.

Genitalia (Figs. 7-10): Penis 2.04 long. Truncus slender. Ventral plate pyriform, widened at base, divided distally into two branches by a deep V-shaped cleft; armed with three distal and three basal setae and a much smaller one in the middle; each branch with a distal well-developed flange, bearing two very short setae. Glans (Fig. 9) bifid; ventral branch (“fan”) roughly rectangular, with two latero-distal projecting flakes with grooved surface and serrate margins; dorsal branch cylindrical, entirely smooth, with rounded apex, slightly swollen (Fig. 10) and with blunt tubercles and ridges.

Female

Paired sculpture of area III and of eye mound as spines (only tubercles in male). Basitarsus I not thickened as in male (see Figs. 5-6). All segments of leg IV much shorter than in male, especially femur and metatarsus IV (see Tables 1-2). Approximate proportion of femora I-IV lengths: 1:2:1.5:2. Ratio calcaneus/astragalus of metatarsi I-IV: 0.5:0.3:0.4:0.3. Range of tarsal segmentation (n = 3): 6:12:9:9-11.

Habitat: Previous information about the natural history of Ruschia vellutina is not available. The only data result from just one specimen: DZUFRJ 0284 was collected on the under-surface of a rock in a waterfall, as some larger species of Mitobatinae.
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Uloborus kerevatensis, new name for Uloborus albolineatus Opell, 1982 (Arachnida, Araneae, Uloboridae)
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The species name Uloborus albolineatus was first used by Mello-Leitão (1941), making its subsequent used by Opell (in Lubin et al., 1982) a junior homonym and requiring that a replacement name be proposed.

Therefore, I propose the species name Uloborus kerevatensis to replace the name Uloborus albolineatus Opell, 1982. This new name is an adjective derived from the type locality of the species.
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